The Controversy over Lyme Disease

The diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease remains controversial because the scientific understanding of this illness continues to evolve and basic questions remain unanswered. The roots of the controversy are the lack of reliable diagnostic tests and biological markers for the disease and the low therapeutic efficacy of commonly prescribed antibiotic regimens. Until we can separate the infected from the uninfected and the cured from the uncured, arguments over diagnostic and therapeutic approaches will continue.

Two schools of thought, exemplified by the International Lyme and Associated Diseases Society (ILADS) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) have developed in regard to the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme disease and both groups have published evidence-based treatment guidelines.1,2 ILADS takes a narrow view of the illness, restricting both the disease definition and treatment options. It highlights the risks associated with over-diagnosis and longer durations of antibiotic therapy. Therefore, it requires patients satisfy a rigid surveillance case definition of the disease (originally developed for epidemiologic and not clinical purposes) before providing access to treatment.

ILADS takes a broader view, encouraging clinicians to exercise clinical judgment and perform individualized risk-benefit analyses to determine who should be treated and in what manner. It emphasizes the risks associated with under-diagnosis and inadequate antibiotic regimens; noting that while all medical treatments carry risks, the risks associated with carefully managed antibiotic treatments are generally low.1

Today’s Patients Can’t Wait for Tomorrow’s Insights

The scientific understanding of Lyme disease and highly successful treatment strategies may be well-established in the future but until that time, clinicians must manage patients to the best of their ability, basing clinical decisions on the available evidence and their clinical experiences. Practice guidelines represent a reasonable starting point but recommendations made on a generalized basis should never be substituted for the clinical judgment of the clinician treating an individual patient. Only within the context of a strong patient-physician relationship can the benefits and risks of specific treatments be appropriately weighed and a truly patient-centered care plan developed.
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Persistent Lyme Disease

Many patients experience ongoing manifestations of Lyme disease following antibiotic therapy. The underlying etiology(s) are poorly understood; several, including immune dysfunction and persistent infection, have been proposed. Evidence in support of one should not be construed as disproving the other.

Persistent (or chronic) infection is supported by post-treatment Bb-positive cultures and PCR results in humans and multiple animal species.1,13,14 In a NIH-funded xenodiagnostic study, uninfected ticks acquired Bb DNA from a persistently symptomatic patient.15 This finding is pivotal because, as noted at www.clinicaltrials.gov (prior to the study’s launch), it “would change the current paradigm for potential mechanisms of disease…”

Bb has multiple survival mechanisms. It can evade the immune response via: 1) physical seclusion within immunologically protected tissue sites, collagen-rich tissues, individual host cells, and biomimicry;3,13,14 and 2) alterations in its appearance (changes in outer surface proteins, cloaking in host proteins and transformation to a cell wall-deficient form).1,13,15 Bb can also modulate the immune response by altering neutrophil, macrophage, and dendritic cell functioning; 2) inhibiting complement-mediated killing and 3) altering cytokine and chemokine levels.13,19,21

Given that persistent infection is possible, antibiotic retreatment is a reasonable therapeutic option. Although the trial evidence is quite limited, two well-designed US studies found that antibiotic retreatment was beneficial in a subset of patients with severe fatigue.22,23 Two other US trials that investigated the efficacy of retreatment were poorly designed and thus, uninformative.22,23
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Lyme Disease Basics

Lymphe disease is the most common vector-borne illness in the US. The CDC estimates 300,000 new cases occur each year. Surveillance case reports suggest that people living in the northeast or upper Midwest at highest risk for Lyme disease but documented cases have been reported from every state. In endemic areas, school-aged children and people who spend time in tick-habitat are at highest risk for the illness.

Lyme disease is a bacterial infection. While any one of several pathogenic Borrelia species can cause a Lyme-like illness, Borrelia burgdorferi sensu stricto (Bb) is the chief cause of Lyme in the US. European species are rarely seen here, but Borrelia miyamotoi, a recently discovered pathogen that also causes a Lyme-like illness, is present in the US.

Lyme disease is transmitted via bites of infected nymphs and adult females. Blacklegged ticks (adult males do not feed). Nymphal bites appear to cause more disease than adult bites. Female and male adults, nymphal and larval ticks are shown here. While all are small, the size differential between the adult female and nymph is striking.

Given their small size and painless bites, it is not surprising that few patients were aware of the bite that infected them.

Lyme disease is a multi-staged, multi-systemic illness. Disease presentations vary by stage. In the early, disease, the bacteria is localized to the skin. Bacterial dissemination to other body sites defines late Lyme. In this stage the infection often involves several body systems, giving rise to a multi-systemic disease. Although the symptoms and signs of late disease may not be apparent for weeks, months or years, dissemination can occur shortly after a bite. It is not unusual for patients to present with late disease. Many will have long-standing manifestations that were not recognized as Lyme disease or were mistakenly attributed to other illnesses. Both stages require antibiotic therapy yet clinical trial evidence is limited. Although complete recovery is more likely for patients with early disease, common antibiotic regimens for either stage are not highly efficacious.

Some patients exhibit a third stage, known as persistent or chronic Lyme disease. This stage is marked by persistence and/or the development of new manifestations of Lyme disease despite prior antibiotic therapy, using standard regimens for early or late disease. The clinical course of persistent manifestations is quite variable; some may remain unchanged while others may resolve or progress.

Early Lyme disease usually begins 3-30 days after a tick bite and is most easily recognized when a hallmark sign, an expanding erythema migrans (EM) rash, is present. EMs vary in appearance, most commonly appearing as homogeneously-colored oval lesions. The classic “bull’s-eye” rash is seen in less than 20% of all EM cases. EM rashes will resolve without antibiotic therapy; this should not be construed as evidence that the infection has been cleared. According to CDC surveillance case data, 30% of patients never develop a rash.

Flu-like symptoms – fever, chills, fatigue, malaise, headache, myalgias, arthralgias and neck stiffness, are common. They may accompany an EM or, in its absence, be the only evidence of an early Lyme infection.

Late Lyme disease produces a wide array of manifestations and can cause marked morbidity. Days to weeks after the bite, patients may exhibit multiple EM rashes, facial nerve palsy or other cranial neuropathies, meningitis, meningoradiculitis, carditis, lymphadenopathy and arthralgia. Constitutional symptoms are frequently present.

Frequently reported symptoms include:

- Extreme fatigue, often interfering with activities
- Headaches, all types
- Recurrent fevers, chills, night sweats
- Myalgias and arthralgias; either may be migratory
- Sleep disturbances
- Cranial nerve dysfunction
- Paresthesias and neuropathic pain syndromes
- Muscle fasciculations and weakness
- Cognitive impairments involving memory, concentration, multi-tasking abilities, information processing, speech and language skills
- Neuropsychiatric problems – irritability, depressed mood, anxiety, panic attacks, mood swings, new onset ADHD, OCD behaviors
- Children may note headaches, fatigue, forgetfulness and depressed mood. They may exhibit behavioral changes and declining school performance. Some may be misdiagnosed with primary ADHD

Although Lyme disease symptoms overlap with those of other diseases such as fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome, MS, RA, and psychiatric disorders, the overall symptom patterns are often atypical for these other illnesses. It is important to recognize that seemingly unrelated symptoms and symptom clusters may be linked by a Lyme infection.

Lymphe disease may be complicated by other tick-borne illnesses. Blacklegged ticks transmit a variety of pathogens and simultaneous transmission with Bb is known to occur. Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Powassan virus, as well as some Babesia and Ehrlichia species are known co-pathogens. It is likely that Bartonella species are also tick-borne pathogens but definitive proof is lacking. Other tick-borne pathogens may be identified in the future.

Co-infections often produce symptoms that overlap with those of Lyme disease, complicating the diagnosis of each. Co-infections may have a synergistic effect. Investigators documented that co-infected humans had increased morbidity and delayed recovery.

Lyme disease is a clinical diagnosis with history playing the key role. Pertinent positives include 1) known exposure to tick habitat (e.g. the transition zone from woods to grass, long grass, brush, leaf litter, and fallen logs), 2) current or past diagnosis of a co-infection, 3) positive family history of a tick-borne illness, 4) Lyme symptoms, and 5) a known tick bite (this is seldom positive). Importantly, a positive history of any other diagnosis in the differential or symptoms suggestive of one should trigger an appropriate work-up in order to reach the correct diagnosis.

Lyme disease is symptom rich but exam poor. Exam findings are often absent or subtle. In addition to the EM rash and arthritic joints, clinicians may detect Lyme-related neurologic findings such as decreased sensation; muscle tenderness, weakness, or fasciculations; orthostatic changes in BP and P; cognitive impairments. Clinicians should bear in mind that a lack of physical findings does not invalidate the diagnosis.

Lymphe disease lacks sensitive diagnostic tests and biomarkers. Serologic testing, ELISA and Western blots (WB) are more specific than sensitive, thus false negatives are much more common than false positives. Many clinicians follow the two-tier testing strategy adopted by the CDC for use in its surveillance case definition without recognizing that the strategy increases diagnostic specificity but reduces sensitivity. While this may be useful for disease surveillance (because it prevents non-Lyme cases from being wrongly labeled as Lyme and tracked), it is counter-productive in clinical care because it increases the risk that true cases will be dismissed. A more sensitive test strategy would limit the number of false negatives and ongoing clinical assessments should uncover the false positives.

Serology poses other problems. Elevated antibody levels are indicative of Bb exposure but not necessarily infection and antibody levels, over time, can fall to normal in the untreated. WB results are often uninterpretable. Serum testing cannot be used as tests of cure because elevated antibody levels are not indicative of ongoing infection and normal levels are not indicative of cure.